

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

5 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ex
6 rel. SALINA SAVAGE, SAVAGE
7 LOGISTICS, LLC,

8 Plaintiffs,

9 v.

10 WASHINGTON CLOSURE
11 HANFORD LLC; FEDERAL
12 ENGINEERS AND
13 CONSTRUCTORS, INC.; PHOENIX
14 ENTERPRISES NW, LLC; PHOENIX-
15 ABC JOINT VENTURE; and
16 ACQUISITION BUSINESS
17 CONSULTANTS,

18 Defendants.

No. 2:10-CV-05051-SMJ

**ORDER DENYING
RECONSIDERATION**

19 Before the Court, without oral argument, is Defendant Washington Closure
20 Hanford's (WCH) Motion for Reconsideration, ECF No. 373. WCH asks the
Court to reconsider its decision to extend certain case management deadlines for
non-intervened claims. WCH argues that Plaintiff Salina Savage has not
demonstrated diligence in pursuing discovery on the non-intervened claims and
therefore good cause did not exist to extend any deadlines. The Court granted
Plaintiff's motion because it found that she had demonstrated diligence and good


1 cause existed to extend the discovery cutoff and related deadlines for non-
2 intervened claims. WCH has not demonstrated that this was error. Moreover,
3 WCH has not demonstrated that it will be prejudiced by the relatively short
4 extension of certain deadlines in this case.

5 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

6 **1.** Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration, **ECF No. 373**, is **DENIED**.

7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.** The Clerk's Office is directed to enter this Order
8 and provide copies to all counsel.

9 **DATED** this 3rd day of October 2017.

10 

11 _____
12 SALVADOR MENDOZA, JR.
United States District Judge